

✓ Total145 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT

Based on 145 Response

Subject

Bengali


Semester

All


Year

2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.



6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests




7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating

✓ Total145 Response Found



Suggestions

1. No comments
2. Valo
3. No coments
4. No coments
5. Education is better.
6. yes
7. Yes
8. Yes
9. Yes
10. Yes
11. Very good
12. Good
13. Good
14. Good
15. Yes
16. Good
17. Yes
18. Very good
19. Good
20. Yes
21. Yes
22. Yes
23. Yes
24. Yes
25. Yes
26. Yes
27. Yes
28. Yes

✓ Total145 Response Found

- 29. Yes
- 30. Yes
- 31. Yes
- 32. Yes
- 33. Yes
- 34. G
- 35. Yes
- 36. Yes
- 37. Good
- 38. Good
- 39. Good
- 40. Yes
- 41. Please enrich the library....
- 42. Please enrich the library....
- 43. Please enrich the library....
- 44. Please enrich the library
- 45. Good
- 46. Please enrich library
- 47. YES
- 48. YES
- 49. I am satsafied in my teching proces. Thanking you
- 50. No
- 51. YES
- 52. No
- 53. Education process is good.all teachers are helpful.
- 54. YES
- 55. No i am satafied on teching rulls and ragulation. Thanks you.
- 56. No
- 57. No
- 58. No
- 59. No
- 60. No, i am interested on my collage rulls and teching proces. Thanking you.
- 61. Yes
- 62. Yes
- 63. YES
- 64. Yes
- 65. Good
- 66. YED
- 67. Good
- 68. YES
- 69. Yes
- 70. Good
- 71. Good

- 72. Good
- 73. Good
- 74. Good
- 75. Yes
- 76. Good
- 77. Good
- 78. No
- 79. No
- 80. No
- 81. Very good
- 82. Yes
- 83. YES
- 84. Yes
- 85. Yes

✓ Total145 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 17 Response

Subject Botany


Semester All

Year 2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Myself Laxmikanta pari,reciding at chakmukunda,c/o Dipak pari I am the student of bsc/biopass It's a very good college
- 2. Myself Manoj sahuo,reciding at pratappur,c/o manab sahuo I am the student of bsc/pass . It's a very good college but have some problems aj Bengali ,English,,EVs subject,class .. (pass) So sir can you reacty my complain

✓ Total26 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT

Based on 26 Response

Subject

Chemistry


Semester

All


Year

2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.



6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests




7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating

✓ Total26 Response Found



Suggestions


1. dept library books required

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

Dept. Bengali Name Dr. Ananya Ghosh Year 2020


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.


Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



8. Subject covered


✓ Total17 Response Found

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



12. Overall rating

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



Suggestions

1. ????? ??? ?????? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ????

2. Yes


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 14 Response

Dept. Name Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



7. Lecture was.


Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



8. Subject covered


✓ Total14 Response Found

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



12. Overall rating

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



Suggestions


1. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 15 Response

Dept. Name Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.


Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



8. Subject covered


✓ Total15 Response Found

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



12. Overall rating

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



Suggestions

1. Very Good


2. Yes

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 105 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.


Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



8. Subject covered


✓ Total105 Response Found

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



12. Overall rating

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



Suggestions

1. Education is complete.

2. yes

3. Yes

4. Yes

5. Yes

6. Yes

7. Yes

8. Yes

8. Yes

9. Yes

10. Yes

11. Yes

12. Yes

13. Yes

14. Yes

15. Yes

16. Yes

17. Yes

18. Yes

19. Yes

20. Yes

21. Good teacher.....

22. YES

23. YES

24. YES

25. YES

26. YES

27. YES

28. Yes

29. Technology uses

30. Yes

31. YES

32. Yes

33. Yes



Total105 Response Found

 Total 14 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 14 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged


11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

1. Yes


 Total 43 Response Found
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 43 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




7. Lecture was.

8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



✓ Total43 Response Found


9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. Yeah
- 2. Yes
- 3. YES
- 4. YES
- 5. YES
- 6. YES
- 7. YES
- 8. Yes
- 9. Yes

✓ Total43 Response Found

✓ Total46 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 46 Response

Subject Education


Semester All

Year 2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.



6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests




7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating

✓ Total46 Response Found



Suggestions

- 1. We do not have education books in our library
- 2. All good
- 3. Yes
- 4. Yes
- 5. Good
- 6. Yes
- 7. Please enrich the librery
- 8. Yes
- 9. Yes
- 10. Good
- 11. Yes
- 12. Yes
- 13. No
- 14. Yes

✓ Total40 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 40 Response

SubjectEnglish


SemesterAll

Year2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.



6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests




7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating

✓ Total40 Response Found



Suggestions

- 1. teacher strength very low. please do some.
- 2. We need more teachers
- 3. We need more teachers
- 4. We need more teachers
- 5. 1. Lack of teacher at the Dept. Immediate recruitment for good teacher to teach student proper education 2. In the library there is no good text or guide book for M.A
- 6. Improvement of library
- 7. Improvement of library
- 8. Need More Library Books
- 9. library improvment
- 10. I think the university or collage should be reduce the vastness of syllabus.
- 11. Add grammar and literature portion in syllabus
- 12. Need more books for college library
- 13. Development of library book.
- 14. We have no sufficient book in our library for our current semester
- 15. Ok

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 59 Response

SubjectHistory


SemesterAll

Year2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.




5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject



8. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. We not have history books in our library
- 2. Mota muti Valo
- 3. Good
- 4. Very good
- 5. Yes
- 6. Good
- 7. Good
- 8. Yes
- 9. Please enrich the library....
- 10. YES
- 11. YES
- 12. Yes
- 13. YES
- 14. Yes
- 15. Yes
- 16. No suggestion
- 17. No suggestion
- 18. No
- 19. Good
- 20. Yes
- 21. ??????? ????

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 26 Response

 Dept. Name Year
1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



**5. Ability to integrate content with other courses**

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



**6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class**

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



**7. Lecture was.****8. Subject coverage was**



9. Nature of delivery was



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



- 1. We are satisfy
- 2. GOOD TEACHER

Suggestions

✓ Total17 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

Dept. History


Name Mr. Himansu Kumar I

Year 2020


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



✓ Total17 Response Found


7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

1. Very good teacher
2. My ideal candidate for this position

✓ Total17 Response Found

 Total 18 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 18 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




1. Very friendly and knowledgeable teacher I ever seen

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

✓ Total24 Response Found

✓ Total24 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 24 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




- 1. Yes
- 2. Yes
- 3. Yes
- 4. Yes



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

 Total 17 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 17 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




7. Lecture was.

8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

 Total 17 Response Found
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

 Dept. Name Year
1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**5. Ability to integrate content with other courses**


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good

**6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class**

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good


**7. Lecture was.****8. Subject coverage was**

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



✓ Total 17 Response Found


10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 16 Response

Dept. Name Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.


Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



8. Subject covered


✓ Total16 Response Found

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



12. Overall rating

Unsatisfactory
Average
Satisfactory
Good
Very Good



Suggestions

1. Yes


PARENTS FEEDBACK ON MANAGEMENT

Based on 41 Response


Year

2020


1. Frequency of parent-teacher meeting




2. Promptness in redressal of grievances




3. Extent of support to their ward




4. Extent of concession and other facilities provided(government schemes, financial aids)



5.Accessibility to the principal and concerned department teachers




6.Extent of academic and related issues discussion during parent-teacher meeting




✓ Total41 Response Found


7.Extent of information about the college, fees structure and its administration




8. Initiatives for inspiring meritorious but economically disadvantaged students




9. Fees structure commensurate with the economic status



10.Extent of suggestions welcomed and implemented



11. Overall rating



Suggestions

✓ Total28 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT

Based on 28 Response

Subject

Philosophy


Semester

All


Year

2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.



5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Overall rating

✓ Total28 Response Found

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. Valo
- 2. Yes
- 3. Yes

✓ Total23 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 8

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 23 Response

Subject Sanskrit


Semester All

Year 2020


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.



5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests




7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



✓ Total23 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 8

Suggestions

- 1. Yes
- 2. Yes
- 3. Yes
- 4. Yes
- 5. YES
- 6. Yes
- 7. YES
- 8. Yes
- 9. Yes
- 10. YES
- 11. YES
- 12. YES
- 13. We need more teacher
- 14. We need more teacher
- 15. We need more teacher.
- 16. We need more teacher

 Total 16 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 16 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


■ Unsatisfactory
■ Average
■ Satisfactory
■ Good
■ Very Good



7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions